UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,012	01/16/2004	Tomoyuki Kojima	0051-0217P	5749
	7590 03/18/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		MCCALISTER, WILLIAM M		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3753		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/758,012	KOJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

		WIEEL WIN WOOMEN TER	0700
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE RE	EPLY FILED <u>22 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
a _l a _l fo	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplication, applicant must timely file one of the following oplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) 🔀	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have bee under 37 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exto CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
fil N	ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(c	They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. 🔲 1	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	: <u></u> .	
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	·	
ho T C C C	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 1.7 and 9-13.		I be entered and an explanation of
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. 🔲 Tl be	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, burecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
eı	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🔲 ⁻	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Robi	n O. Evans/	/WILLIAM MCCALISTE	ER/
	visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3753	Examiner, Art Unit 3753	 -

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments are directed to features that would require further search and consideration. Specifically, claims 1 and 7 would now require the work receiving openings to "penetrate through the conveyor table" and to be "spaced apart from each other", to be "arranged in a circular pattern", and to "extend from the corresponding work receiving opening to a point that is only part way across the vacuum suction channel in a width direction", "thereby providing a pressure resistance when the vacuum generation mechnism is operated." The arguments are most because the amendments have not been entered.